



Sahney, S., & Benton, M. J. (2017). The impact of the pull of the Recent on the fossil record of tetrapods. *Evolutionary Ecology Research*, 18(2), 7-23. <http://evolutionary-ecology.com/abstracts/v18/3004.html>

Peer reviewed version

License (if available):
Unspecified

[Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research](#)
PDF-document

This is the author accepted manuscript (AAM). The final published version (version of record) is available online via evolutionary-ecology at <http://evolutionary-ecology.com/abstracts/v18/3004.html> . Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research

General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available: <http://www.bristol.ac.uk/red/research-policy/pure/user-guides/ebr-terms/>

The impact of the pull of the recent on the fossil record of tetrapods

Sarda Sahney^{1,2} and Michael J. Benton¹

¹ School of Earth Sciences, University of Bristol, Wills Memorial Building, Queen's Road,
Bristol, BS8, 1RJ, UK

² Correspondence: sardasahney@gmail.com; mike.benton@bristol.ac.uk

Running head: Pull of the Recent and tetrapods

ABSTRACT

Question: Why is there an apparently exponential rise in the global diversity of life on land in the past 120 million years?

Hypothesis: Most of the apparent rise in diversity is an artefact of improved sampling towards the present day. A particular bias, the Pull of the Recent (POR), affects those fossil taxa with living representatives, by artificially inflating their numbers.

Organisms: The fossil record of tetrapods (amphibians, reptiles, mammals and birds).

Methods: Comparison of lists of extant families and genera with totals and proportions that do, and do not, have fossil records in the Plio-Pleistocene.

Results: The POR extends its influence back to the early Eocene, accounting for at most 6.1% of the increase in tetrapod family diversity and 1.3% of generic diversity. Small animals, insectivores and birds are most affected by the POR, perhaps because of their delicate skeletons.

Conclusion: The POR does not significantly distort the pattern of diversification, suggesting that the massive expansion of tetrapod biodiversity in the past 120 myr is largely a real biological pattern.

Keywords: biodiversity, diversity, fossil record, pull of the recent, tetrapods, vertebrates

Introduction

A key question in evolutionary biology concerns the origin of modern biodiversity, whether its current high richness was achieved 400-500 million years ago (Ma) and has remained steady ever since, or whether diversity expanded explosively in the past 100-150 million years (Myr). This divergence of opinion was highlighted 50 years ago, when Valentine (1968) argued that the empirical fossil record diversity data were reliable and showed continuing diversity increases since the Cambrian, and a four-fold expansion of marine generic diversity in the past 120 Myr, whereas Raup (1972) counter-argued that these rises were indications of biased sampling and that the number of marine families had remained more or less constant since the early Palaeozoic. These two viewpoints lead to profound differences in perception of macroevolution, Valentine's view allowing for continuing expansion and opportunism (Harmon and Harrison, 2015), and Raup's indicating a long-term, 400 Myr, equilibrium in family diversity (Rabosky and Hurlbert, 2015). A key element of these discussions concerns the last 120 Myr, from the late Early Cretaceous and through the Cenozoic, the time when most of the increase is purported to have occurred; this is our focus here.

The empirical, or literalist, viewpoint is that the fossil record of all life, or all marine life, at global scale and at relatively high taxonomic level (e.g. families) shows a reliable pattern. Incomplete sampling and bias exist of course, but these are randomly scattered and overwhelmed or minimised by the scale of study. According to this view (Sepkoski et al., 1981; Sepkoski, 1984; Benton, 1995; Benton et al., 2000; Stanley, 2007), the diversity of marine families doubled and the diversity of marine genera quadrupled, and on land, raw terrestrial family diversity quadrupled (Benton, 1995; Sahney et al., 2010). Taking account of scaling between levels in the taxonomic hierarchy, these increases might equate to multiplication of species numbers by five or ten times (Raup, 1975). Explosive radiation

among vertebrates has also been identified in the interval from 150-100 Ma, based on analysis of evolutionary rates across synoptic molecular phylogenetic trees (e.g. Alfaro et al., 2009; Meredith et al., 2011; Rabosky et al., 2013). These dramatic expansions in biodiversity are explained by major events in evolution, including the Mesozoic Marine Revolution (MMR; Vermeij, 1977), a time in the sea when predatory behaviour and defences escalated, and the Cretaceous Terrestrial Revolution on land (KTR; Lloyd et al., 2010; Meredith et al., 2011; Vermeij and Grosberg, 2011) when flowering plants diversified, followed by plant-eating, pollinating, and social insects and insect-eating lizards, birds, and mammals (Fig. 1). The MMR and KTR are identified at locality and regional scale, based on the replacement of particular clades and feeding modes by new clades with innovative feeding strategies, and so do not depend on the observation, or assumption, of explosive diversification from fossil-based data.

The alternative, bias, view (Raup, 1972; Alroy et al., 2001, 2008; Alroy, 2010) is that all or most of these explosive expansions of raw palaeodiversity are a consequence of bias, the expectation that older rocks and fossils are less well preserved and sampled than more recent. In this view, biodiversity experienced its most dramatic expansions some 400–500 Ma in the sea, and subsequent rises are artefacts of improved preservation and improved sampling. Macroevolutionary phenomena such as the MMR and KTR are seen as important, but were accommodated generally within a steady total global diversity by the one-for-one, equilibrial replacement of taxa. Global biodiversity rebounded, but generally did not exceed, this equilibrium level following mass extinctions (Sepkoski, 1984; Alroy, 2010). The apparent rises in biodiversity in the past 120 Myr are explained as a result of biased preservation, biased sampling, and the Pull of the Recent, a combination of factors that cause palaeontologists to overestimate diversity towards the present day (Raup, 1972).

85 The widespread finding of exponential patterns of increase for all terrestrial life (e.g. Benton,
86 1995; Eble, 1999; Kalmar and Currie, 2010), for angiosperms (Magallón and Castillo, 2009;
87 Bell et al., 2010), for insects (e.g. Nicholson et al., 2014), and for tetrapods (e.g. Benton,
88 1995, 2001; Sahney et al., 2010), especially in the past 120 Myr, could indicate a major
89 difference between global diversification patterns on land and in the sea. Perhaps there is a
90 cap to global carrying capacity in the oceans, but any such cap on land has yet to be reached
91 (e.g. Eble, 1999; Benton, 2001). Equally, the huge expansion of teleosts, and teleost subclades
92 (Alfaro et al., 2009; Friedman and Sallan, 2012), as well as some other marine clades, might
93 suggest that there has been no plateau to total marine diversity in the past 100–200 Myr.

94 A widely accepted view from the palaeontological side is that life has not diversified
95 in the sea in the past 120 Myr (Alroy et al., 2001, 2008; Alroy, 2010a, b), and this position
96 has been generalized to all life, including life on land. In the latter case, an equilibrial view
97 can be sustained by positing breakthroughs and multiple logistic models to account for
98 innovations and major diversity increases (e.g. Sepkoski, 1984; Alroy, 2010a). Phylogenomic
99 data, on the other hand, show massive diversifications of some clades, additions of thousands
100 of new species, without evident loss of competitors in order to maintain equilibrium. The past
101 120 Myr of global diversification then is important in seeking to discriminate between these
102 very different world views.

103 Here, we do not address the question of density-dependence and equilibrium models
104 for global diversity (Sepkoski, 1984; Alroy, 2010a; Rabosky, 2013; Rabosky and Hurlbert,
105 2015) or the alternative, non-density-dependent, non-equilibrial view (Benton and Emerson,
106 2007; Stanley, 2007; Quental and Marshall, 2013; Harmon and Harrison, 2015). Our concern
107 is whether the apparent diversity expansion of the past 120 Myr is real or not, and we focus
108 on the bias and sampling arguments that it is not.

Bias and the Pull of the Recent

Improved sampling includes geological and human aspects, such as increasing preservation of aragonitic fossils and fossils in unlithified sediments (Alroy et al., 2008; Sessa et al., 2010) and more thorough sampling and more worldwide coverage of samples in the Cenozoic, and especially the Neogene, the past 23 Myr (Alroy et al., 2008; Alroy, 2010a). In the case of the fossil tetrapods, considered here, questions of aragonitic fossils and lithified vs. unlithified sediments are probably of little importance. On the other hand, there has doubtless been very variable sampling by age and location.

The ‘Pull of the Recent’ (POR) phenomenon (Raup, 1979) encompasses all the factors that improve our knowledge and counts of species towards the present day. It is to be distinguished from the ‘pull of the present’ (POP), which is a purely theoretical phenomenon, a property of the standard birth–death model of diversification, that gives rise to an apparently enhanced diversification rate towards the present (Etienne and Rosindell, 2012). The POR includes several aspects, but a key element concerns the use of range-through assessments of diversity. Because the present-day acts as an over-sampled horizon, it can artificially extend fossil range data backwards across unsampled intervals (gaps). In other words, if fossils of a particular species or genus are rare, the recorded stratigraphic range may be too short; if, however, the rare fossil taxon has living representatives, the range can be extended across the intervening gap, however long the gap might be (Raup, 1972, 1979; Jablonski et al., 2003). An extreme case would be, for example, the Family Latimeriidae, for which the last fossil is *Macropoma* from the Late Cretaceous; the chance survival of the living coelacanth *Latimeria* allows the gap of 70 Myr to be bridged. *Latimeria* occurs in limited locations and so might be unlikely to be fossilised or to be found as a fossil, and so this case shows an extreme example of the gap-bridging bias.

Apart from this range-through, gap-bridging bias, the POR also involves a subsidiary aspect, that palaeobiologists may be biased by comparisons of Neogene fossils with extant taxa, and so could over-split the fossil species in line with those living taxa, rather than adopting a more conservative approach to species numbers. On the other hand, in some cases, palaeobiologists might be biased in underemphasizing Neogene biodiversity by attempting to shoehorn fossil taxa into living species; this would artificially extend their stratigraphic ranges back in time, but at the same time could reduce estimated species counts.

Palaeontologists have used various means to counter these aspects of the POR. For example, Sepkoski (1984) and Alroy et al. (2001, 2008) excluded all living taxa and all fossil representatives of living taxa from their diversity plots. This certainly avoids the influence of living taxa on range extensions and on taxonomic practice, but the procedure itself biases counts of species and genera by removing a large sector of Neogene biodiversity without compensation, and so is guaranteed to remove much of any diversity rise, whether the adjustment is justified or not.

The range-through POR problem is easier to explore (Jablonski et al., 2003). One solution (Alroy, 2010a) is to use sampled-in-bin counts only. Diversity then reflects exact counts of what has been found in a time bin and makes no assumptions about any taxa that might span the time bin. Raup (1972) noted this distinction, and argued that the use of range-through taxa would mask times of poor sampling. However, in attempting to understand patterns of diversity change through time, excluding data removes signal. Further, as often noted (e.g. Fara, 2001; Smith, 2007), range-through counts provide positive evidence of missing data by identifying Lazarus taxa, and so can correct for short-term poor sampling, for example when one time bin among many is massively undersampled.

Perhaps the POR has been over-estimated, and previous data manipulations to escape its effects (e.g. Sepkoski, 1984; Alroy et al., 2001, 2008) have removed real signal at the same

time. The key question is: how many fossil taxa with living representatives exhibit the POR effect? Jablonski et al. (2003) found that the POR accounts for 5% of the Cenozoic increase in bivalve diversity. Here we explore the family and genus-level richness of the Tetrapoda, a clade of around 30,000 living species. Tetrapods are ideal candidates for identifying the potential bias of the POR since they have a well-studied fossil record, the present-day diversity of the group is well known, and the palaeodiversity trend through the Phanerozoic is an exponential rise, a pattern that could indicate POR bias.

Material and Methods

The effect of the POR on tetrapods was evaluated by identifying taxa that have both living and extinct representatives, then finding the youngest fossil occurrences of each taxon and seeing how many of these taxa leapfrog recent geological stages to the present. The aim is then to test how often the occurrence of living relatives of fossil forms would artificially plug gaps in the fossil record. We examined multiple databases and compilations (see the electronic supplementary material) of extinct and extant taxa, and surveyed primary literature to determine which living tetrapod families and genera lack fossil occurrences in the Pliocene (the past 5.3 myr), following previous methods used in a study of the impact of the POR on the fossil record of bivalves (Jablonski et al., 2003). We considered both families and genera because tetrapod taxa had shorter durations than bivalves, and both may behave differently: families are likely to contain more sampled specimens than genera, but genera are shorter-lived than families. We did not run the analysis at species level because there is considerable debate about the validity of many Neogene species of tetrapods in comparison to extant forms, and we wished to avoid such uncertainties. Further, the majority of published diversity-through-time studies based on the fossil record have been conducted at family or genus, and not species, level.

The impact of the Pull of the Recent (POR) varies across hierarchical taxonomic levels in proportion to their mean temporal duration. Families of tetrapods in general have had longer durations than genera, and genera longer durations than species. This means that temporal ranges of families may encompass longer gaps than those of genera, and especially of species. Equally, short-lived taxa such as species may not be found at all if sampling is poor. Further, following Jablonski et al. (2003), we use the Plio-Pleistocene, the past 5.3 myr, as our POR sampling interval, and few species would span that interval.

The familial and generic taxonomic levels have advantages over species in several ways: (1) a large number of tetrapod workers have carried out repeated revisions of families and higher clades in the context of cladistic phylogeny, so there is a greater consensus on the nature of these categories and counts, hence families are subject to fewer errors and controversy than lower taxonomic levels, especially species; (2) independent analyses of tetrapod relationships using molecular data suggest that our broad understanding of the fossil record is reasonably complete (Benton, 1990; Benton et al., 2000; Patterson et al., 1993) (3) the family and generic records are more complete than the species records and new discoveries tend to enhance our understanding of the diversity of life, not change it radically (Benton and Storrs, 1994); (4) families and genera are less susceptible to irregular and biased sampling than species so evolutionary signal is better maintained at this level; (5) families and genera have longer records and are typically widespread (species are short-lived and often confined to a single stage of geologic time); (6) using families and genera allows less robust or questionable entities to be removed from analysis (e.g. monotypic species, species based on limited material).

A total of 1034 extinct and extant tetrapod families were initially tabulated. One third of these families (355) are extant and 46 are only recorded in the present day. The remaining 309 extant families have a fossil record (Table 2). The 33091 living species of tetrapods are

divided into 5030 genera, of which only 757 have a fossil record (Table 1), according to the Paleobiology Database (PaleoDB). Thus 309 tetrapod families and 757 tetrapod genera form the database for this study.

Families and genera that generate a POR effect were identified by consulting first the PaleoDB, and then the wider literature. Raw data were extracted from the PaleoDB, and POR taxa were identified by checking the PaleoDB fossil families and genera against standard lists of extant tetrapods and noting those fossil forms with extant representatives (electronic supplementary data). Then, knowing that the PaleoDB does not yet report all fossil data, we pursued primary literature for each tentative POR taxon, and determined whether there truly was a Plio-Pleistocene gap or not. The geological time scale employed was Gradstein et al. (2012). Full details of the data are given in the Supplementary Material.

The Paleobiology Database (PaleoDB) was the initial reference source for data. The PaleoDB represents current knowledge to varying degrees: it is especially good for Cenozoic mammals, largely thanks to the work of John Alroy, Mark Uhen and others, but representation of other tetrapod groups (birds, crocodilians, lepidosaurs, turtles, lissamphibians) is incomplete. It cannot be estimated what proportion of the fossil records of these groups is represented by current records in the PaleoDB. Nonetheless, there is no reason to assume that the PaleoDB would under- or over-sample Plio-Pleistocene records in comparison to other Neogene or Cenozoic publications.

Family-Level Database

The stratigraphic distribution of fossil mammals was initially taken from the *Fossil Record 2* (Benton, 1993) and narrowed to a database of tetrapod families with extinct and extant representatives. The PaleoDB (Alroy et al., 1998) and primary literature was checked for Pliocene – Pleistocene occurrences of these families, narrowing down the list of tentative

POR taxa to 24 in 2011 and 19 when updated in 2013. A full listing of primary literature can be found at <http://palaeo.gly.bris.ac.uk/data/tetrapodsPOR.html>. Further, experts on these families were consulted to confirm whether there was indeed a Plio-Pleistocene gap. The families affected by the POR are listed below along with their last known fossil occurrence.

Mammalian Generic-Level Database

The stratigraphic distribution of fossil mammalian genera was downloaded from the PaleoDB (Alroy et al., 1998); on May 30, 2013, showing stratigraphic distributions of 3960 genera with a fossil record to epoch level (including Early and Late Pliocene, and Early, Middle, and Late Pleistocene). These genera were checked against the complete listing of extant mammal species in Wilson & Reeder (2005) and PanTHERIA (Jones et al., 2009) to identify those genera with living representatives. Twenty of the 440 living genera with a fossil record (4.5%) had distributions that apparently leap-frogged over the Pliocene-Pleistocene interval, occurring typically in the late Miocene, then the present day (including sometimes, the Holocene), but with no intervening fossil record. These taxa could contribute to the POR bias. However, on checking the standard database on fossil and modern mammals (McKenna and Bell, 2000), 15 of those 20 POR taxa turn out to have Pliocene or Pleistocene fossil records, which have not yet been included in the PaleoDB.

Five true POR mammalian genera are extant with fossil records extending up to the end of the Miocene, but not in the Plio-Pleistocene. These are the rodents *Anomalurus*, *Platacanthomys*, *Ratufa*, and *Zenkerella*, and the primate *Tarsius*. The remaining 15 genera were apparently POR taxa, but have a Plio-Pleistocene fossil record that is not entered in the PaleoDB. They are the marsupials *Chironectes*, *Hypsiprymnodon*, and *Pseudochirops*, the insectivore *Scaptonyx*, the bats *Asellia*, *Macroderma*, *Noctilio*, and *Scotophilus*, the rodents

Calmoyscus, *Dremomys*, *Hylomys*, *Hylopetes*, and *Tamiops*, the artiodactyl *Catagonus*, and the carnivore *Hydrurga*.

Avian Generic-Level Database

Avian phylogeny was checked using (Boyd, 2008) and the stratigraphic distribution of fossil birds was downloaded from the PaleoDB (Alroy et al., 1998); on May 30, 2013, showing stratigraphic distributions of all 515 included genera with a fossil record to epoch level (including Early and Late Pliocene, and Early, Middle, and Late Pleistocene). These genera were checked against lists of fossil (Brodkorb, 1995; Olson, 1963; Public Authorship, 2006; Ruhe, 1993) and extant bird species (Gill and Wright, 1991; Lepage, 2003) (2229 genera; 10426 species) to identify those genera with living representatives. Five of the 168 living genera with fossil records (3.0%) had distributions that apparently leap-frogged over the Pliocene-Pleistocene interval, occurring typically in the Late Miocene, then the present day, but with no intervening fossil record. These taxa could contribute to the POR bias. However, on checking the primary literature, 4 of those 5 POR taxa turn out to have Pliocene or Pleistocene fossil records that have not yet been included in the PaleoDB.

The single true POR avian genus is the crane (gruiform) *Balearica*. The remaining four genera were apparently POR taxa, but have a Plio-Pleistocene fossil record that is not entered in the PaleoDB. They are the heron (ciconiiform) *Ardeola*, the procellariiforms (gulls) *Diomedea* and *Oceanodroma*, and the accipitriform (eagle) *Geranoaetus*.

Crocodylian Generic-Level Database

Of the 23 living species and 8 living genera of crocodylians, two genera (*Paleosuchus* and *Melanosuchus*) lack a fossil record, the remaining six all have Plio-Pleistocene occurrences recorded in the PaleoDB, hence there are no POR genera.

283

284 *Lepidosaurian Generic-Level Database*

285 The stratigraphic distribution of fossil lepidosaurs (snakes, lizards, rhynchocephalians)
286 was downloaded from the PaleoDB (Alroy et al., 1998) on May 30, 2013, showing
287 stratigraphic distributions of 121 genera with a fossil record to epoch level (including Early
288 and Late Pliocene, and Early, Middle, and Late Pleistocene). These genera were checked
289 against the complete listing of extant lepidosaur species in The Reptile Database (Uetz et al.,
290 1995) (960 genera; 9766 species) to identify those genera with living representatives. The
291 9766 species of living lepidosaurs include 5796 species and 470 genera of lizards (Sauria),
292 184 species and 24 genera of amphisbaenians (Amphisbaenia), 3432 species and 489 genera
293 of snakes (Serpentes), and 1 species and 1 genus of sphenodontid (Rhynchocephalia).

294 Thirteen of the 64 living genera with a fossil record (25%) had distributions that
295 apparently leap-frogged over the Pliocene-Pleistocene interval, occurring typically in the Late
296 Miocene, then the present day, but with no intervening fossil record. These taxa could
297 contribute to the POR. However, on checking the primary literature, 11 of those 13 POR taxa
298 turn out to have Pliocene or Pleistocene fossil records, which have not yet been included in
299 the PaleoDB.

300 The two true POR lepidosaurian genera are the iguanian lizard *Polychrus*, which has a
301 middle Eocene fossil record and the extant boid snake *Eunectes*, which has a late Miocene
302 fossil record. The 11 genera that were apparently POR taxa, but have a Plio-Pleistocene fossil
303 record that is not entered in the PaleoDB are the chamaeleonid *Chamaeleo*, the agamid
304 *Uromastyx*, the boids *Boa*, *Epicrates*, and *Eryx*, the filesnake *Acrochordus*, the vipers
305 *Trimesurus* and *Tropidoclonion*, the blind snake *Typhlops*, and the colubrid *Telescopus*.

306

307 *Testudine Generic-Level Database*

The stratigraphic distribution of fossil turtles was downloaded from the PaleoDB (Alroy et al., 1998); on May 30, 2013, showing stratigraphic distributions of 170 genera with a fossil record to epoch level (including Early and Late Pliocene, and Early, Middle, and Late Pleistocene). These genera were checked against the complete listing of extant turtle species in The Reptile Database (Uetz et al., 1995) (94 genera; 328 species) to identify those genera with living representatives.

Eight of the 44 living genera with a fossil record (18.2%) had distributions that apparently leap-frogged over the Pliocene-Pleistocene interval, occurring typically in the Late Miocene, then the present day, but with no intervening fossil record. These taxa could contribute to the POR bias. However, on checking the primary literature, 13 of those 15 POR taxa turn out to have Pliocene or Pleistocene fossil records that have not yet been included in the PaleoDB.

The two true POR turtle genera are *Aspideretes* and *Dermatemys*. The eight genera that were apparently POR taxa, but have a Plio-Pleistocene fossil record that is not entered in the PaleoDB are *Chelus*, *Cuora*, *Cycloderma*, *Dermochelys*, *Lissemys*, *Ocadia*, *Phrynops*, and *Rhinoclemmys*.

Lissamphibian Generic-Level Database

The stratigraphic distribution of fossil lissamphibians was downloaded from the PaleoDB (Alroy et al., 1998); on May 30, 2013, showing stratigraphic distributions of all 95 included genera with a fossil record to epoch level (including Early and Late Pliocene, and Early, Middle, and Late Pleistocene). These genera were checked against the complete listing of extant lissamphibian species in AmphibiaWeb (Hero, 2000) (510 genera; 7132 species) to identify those genera with living representatives. The 7132 species of living amphibians

include 6292 species (466 genera) of anurans (frogs and toads), 648 species (68 genera) of caudatans (salamanders), and 192 species (35 genera) of gymnophionans (caecilians).

Only one of the 35 living genera with a fossil record (2.9%) had a distribution that apparently leap-frogged over the Pliocene-Pleistocene interval, and so could contribute to the POR bias. This taxon, *Lechriodus*, indeed has a Pliocene or Pleistocene fossil record, which has not yet been included in the PaleoDB.

Results

Among extant tetrapod families, 309 have a fossil record (21 amphibians, 41 reptiles, 120 mammals and 127 birds). Of these 309 families, 290 have fossil occurrences in the Pliocene or Pleistocene. The POR thus affects 19 extant tetrapod families with a fossil record (6.1%), specifically 0% of amphibians, 9.8% of reptiles, 1.7% of mammals and, 10.2% of birds (Table 2). Hence, the POR has only a small influence on the observed rise in tetrapod biodiversity, especially through the Cenozoic (Fig. 2).

The effect of the POR at generic level is even more modest (Fig. 3; Table 1). Although the PaleoDB indicated 47 POR taxa in all (6.2% of 757 genera), checking of current literature (see Supplementary Material) reduced this to 10 POR taxa (1.3%), with small numbers distributed over mammals, birds, lepidosaurs and turtles, and none for crocodilians and lissamphibians. In a cumulative plot for all tetrapods through the Cenozoic (Fig. 3A), Plio-Pleistocene mammals are particularly well documented in the PaleoDB. Comparison of the totals for all data and data without the POR bias for all tetrapods (Fig. 3B) and for mammals only (Fig. 3C) shows a negligible statistical effect.

Discussion

It seems probable that the POR bias diminishes with detailed study. For example, the POR was believed to distort the shape of marine invertebrate palaeodiversity significantly, with almost half of genera affected (Sepkoski, 1997). However, further exploration of the data, for bivalves at least, showed that this was mostly the result of errors and unresolved taxonomies in the original database; when these were corrected, the effect of the POR dwindled to 9%, and then to 5% when more recently discovered taxa were added (Jablonski et al., 2003).

In the case of tetrapods, a similarly small number of taxa are affected, and the POR does not extend deep in time. At family level, the POR extends back to the Ypresian; it affects 1.6% of families in the Eocene, 0.3% of families in the Oligocene, and 4.2% of families in the Miocene (Fig. 2). Overall, the POR does not significantly affect the shape of the synoptic tetrapod familial diversity curve, and importantly, the peaks and troughs are not removed. Similarly, the POR affects tetrapod genera only in the Cenozoic, also back to the Ypresian, but predominantly in the Miocene, and the effect is small, impacting on 1.3% of fossil genera (Fig. 3B, C). As expected, families are more affected by the POR because in general a family has a longer stratigraphic range than a genus, and so is more likely to extend deeper in time. With a patchy fossil record, a long-spanning family is more likely to include a 5 Myr gap in its record than a shorter-lived genus. Another observation is that the POR effect increases towards the present (Fig. 2); this is expected because late fossil occurrences will pull early time ranges forward whereas the reverse is not true.

Since only 19 families and 10 genera of tetrapods are affected by the POR, statistical analysis of biases against particular ecomorphs defined by habitat, diet and body size cannot be conducted, but some general patterns are observed. The familial data indicates that birds are most affected by the POR (10%), perhaps because of their delicate skeletons and their recognised patchy fossil record (Fountain et al., 2005; Brocklehurst et al., 2012). All affected

381 taxa are small to medium in size (snout-vent length < 150 cm), except the family
382 Sagittariidae and the boid snake genus *Eunectes*. Sagittariidae is represented today by the
383 large Secretary bird and by much smaller fossils from the Oligocene and Miocene of France
384 (Mourer-Chauviré and Cheneval, 1983), but nothing in between. Size is a bias of the POR for
385 marine bivalves (Jablonski et al., 2003) and for completeness of the fossil record in general
386 (Cooper et al., 2006): future discoveries of new Pliocene and Pleistocene fossils may be
387 predominantly animals with small, fragile skeletons. Half of affected families are
388 insectivores, and only two taxa are carnivores (Sagittariidae, *Eunectes*), although the diets of
389 their ancestors are uncertain (Mourer-Chauviré and Cheneval, 1983). Most carnivores are
390 medium to large animals and so likely to be preserved in the fossil record, whereas most
391 insect-eating tetrapods are small, so the POR bias by diet may be the same as the size bias.

392 This does not mean that the massive explosion of terrestrial, and tetrapod, biodiversity
393 in the past, 150-100 myr is now demonstrated to be real. The other potential biasing factors,
394 such as improved fossil preservation and improved fossil discovery (sampling) have not been
395 assessed independently. Indeed, it is hard to evaluate the influence of improved sampling on
396 empirical palaeodiversity measures through time because there is no independent yardstick
397 against which to compare the rock and fossil records (Smith, 2007; Benton et al., 2011;
398 Dunhill et al., 2014; Benton, 2015). As the numbers of fossil samples, fossiliferous localities,
399 and geological formations increase towards the present, so too do the raw measures of
400 palaeodiversity (Benton, 2015). For example, treating the formation count as an independent
401 metric of sampling (e.g. Peters and Foote, 2001; Barrett et al., 2009) ignores the fact that
402 palaeodiversity and formation counts are massively redundant for sparsely occurring taxa
403 such as dinosaurs: the two metrics are closely linked as fossils accrue through research time,
404 so they are highly correlated, but that is not proof that sampling drives palaeodiversity
405 (Benton et al., 2011, 2013; Benton, 2015). This problem with formation counts had been

noted before (e.g. Crampton et al., 2003; Smith, 2007), and these authors also highlighted the extreme variability of formation definitions, which are dependent on rock heterogeneity, fossil abundance, and regional customs. Map outcrop areas might be a better measure of sampling opportunity (Crampton et al., 2003; Smith and McGowan, 2007; Wall et al., 2009; Dunhill et al., 2014), although map areas do not always indicate areas of available rock exposure (Dunhill, 2011, 2012). In any case, sampling is scalar: thousands of new specimens from a geological time bin may increase species or generic diversity by only modest amounts.

Covariation between fossil and rock time series, even if the two metrics are not redundant with each other, need not indicate bias. Indeed, rock volume and area do not increase through the past 120 myr (Smith, 2007), and cannot explain the massive rises in palaeodiversity (Smith and McGowan, 2007). Further, for the marine fossil record at least, rises in outcrop areas and palaeodiversity are plausibly explained by the common cause model (Peters, 2005; Hannisdal and Peters, 2011), a kind of species-area effect that links increases and reductions in marine shelf area with rises and falls in diversity. Under this interpretation, both the rock record and fossil record are treated as reasonably reliable, at least for large-scale inferences.

Undoubtedly there are gaps in the fossil record, especially at low taxic levels, but it has yet to be demonstrated that bias and sampling distort the fossil record of skeletonized organisms in a substantial way. There is no reason to believe that the POR has significantly influenced the shape of synoptic palaeodiversity patterns tabulated from the tetrapod fossil record. This conclusion provides support for the suggestion that the massive expansion of the diversity of tetrapods in the past 120 myr is reasonably accurate (Benton and Storrs, 1994; Benton et al., 2000, 2011; Kalmar and Currie, 2010; Benton et al., 2011) and that the effect of the Pull of the Recent is modest and cannot be used as evidence that the rise is artificial.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank colleagues who advised on specific taxa, including Michael Bates, Bhart-Anjan Singh Bhullar, Jacques Gauthier, and Pat Holroyd. Appreciated are the resources that Jason Anderson and Jessica Theodor of the University of Calgary provided for SS. We are also indebted to Simon Powell for rendering the figures.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: <http://www.XXX>

REFERENCES

- Alfaro, M.E., Santini, F., Brock, C., Alamillo, H., Dornburg, A., Rabosky, D.L., et al. 2009. Nine exceptional radiations plus high turnover explain species diversity in jawed vertebrates. *Proc. Natn. Acad. Sci., USA*. 106, 13410-13414.
- Alroy, J. 1998-2015. The Paleobiology Database. North Ryde, Australia: Macquarie University.
- Alroy, J. 2010a. Geographical, environmental and intrinsic biotic controls on phanerozoic marine diversification. *Palaeontology* 53, 1211-1235.
- Alroy, J. 2010b. The shifting balance of diversity among major marine animal groups. *Science* 329, 1191-1194.
- Alroy, J., Aberhan, M., Bottjer, D.J., Foote, M., Fürsich, F.T., Harries, P.J., et al. 2008. Phanerozoic trends in the global diversity of marine invertebrates. *Science* 321, 97-100.
- Alroy, J., Marshall, C.R., Bambach, R.K., Bezusko, K., Foote, M., Fürsich, F.T., et al. 2001. Effects of sampling standardization on estimates of Phanerozoic marine diversification. *Proc. Natn. Acad. Sci., USA*. 98, 6261-6266.
- Barrett, P.M., McGowan, A.J., Page, V. 2009. Dinosaur diversity and the rock record. *Proc.*

456 R. Soc. Lond. Ser. B. 276, 2667-2674.

457 Bell, C.D., Soltis, D.E., Soltis, P.S. 2010. The age and diversification of the angiosperms
458 revisited. *Am. J. Bot.* 97, 1296-1303.

459 Benton, M.J. 1990. Phylogeny of the major tetrapod groups: morphological data and
460 divergence dates. *J. Mol. Evol.* 30, 409-424.

461 Benton, M.J. (1993). *The Fossil Record 2*. London: Chapman & Hall.

462 Benton, M.J. 1995. Diversification and extinction in the history of life. *Science* 268, 52-58.

463 Benton, M.J. 2001. Biodiversity on land and in the sea. *Geological Journal*, 36, 211-230.

464 Benton, M.J. 2015. Palaeodiversity and formation counts: redundancy or bias? *Palaeontology*
465 online ahead of print.

466 Benton, M.J., Dunhill, A.M., Lloyd, G.T., Marx, F.G. 2011. Assessing the quality of the fossil
467 record: insights from vertebrates. *Geol. Soc. Lond. Spec. Publ.* 358, 63-94.

468 Benton, M.J., Emerson, B.C. 2007. How did life become so diverse? The dynamics of
469 diversification according to the fossil record and molecular phylogenetics. *Palaeontology*
470 50, 23-40.

471 Benton, M.J., Ruta, M., Dunhill, A.M., Sakamoto, M. 2013. The first half of tetrapod
472 evolution, sampling proxies, and fossil record quality. *Palaeogeogr., Palaeoclimatol.,*
473 *Palaeoecol.* 372, 18-41.

474 Benton, M.J., Storrs, G.W. 1994. Testing the quality of the fossil record - paleontological
475 knowledge is improving. *Geology* 22, 111-114.

476 Benton, M.J., Wills, M.A., Hitchin, R. 2000. Quality of the fossil record through time. *Nature*,
477 403, 534-537.

478 Boyd, J.H. 2008-2009. *Aves - a taxonomy in flux*. Miami: Florida International University.

479 Brocklehurst, N., Upchurch, P., Mannion, P.D., O'Connor, J. 2012. The completeness of the
480 fossil record of Mesozoic birds: implications for early avian evolution. *Plos One*, 7(6),

481 e39056.

482 Brodkorb, P. 1995-2013. The Pierce Brodkorb Collection. Miami: Florida Museum of Natural
 483 History.

484 Cooper, R.A., Maxwell, P.A., Crampton, J.S., Beu, A.G., Jones, C.M., Marshall, B.A. 2006.
 485 Completeness of the fossil record: Estimating losses due to small body size. *Geology* 34,
 486 241-244.

487 Crampton, J.S., Beu, A.G., Cooper, R.A., Jones, C.M., Marshall, B., Maxwell, P.A. 2003.
 488 Estimating the rock volume bias in paleobiodiversity studies. *Science* 301, 358-360.

489 Dunhill, A.M. 2011. Using remote sensing and a geographic information system to quantify
 490 rock exposure area in England and Wales: Implications for paleodiversity studies.
 491 *Geology* 39, 111-114.

492 Dunhill, A.M. 2012. Problems with using rock outcrop area as a paleontological sampling
 493 proxy: rock outcrop and exposure area compared with coastal proximity, topography,
 494 land use, and lithology. *Paleobiology* 38, 126-143.

495 Dunhill, A.M., Hannisdal, B., Benton, M.J. 2014. Disentangling rock record bias and
 496 common-cause from redundancy in the British fossil record. *Nature Comm.* 5, 4818.

497 Eble, G.J. 1999. Originations: land and sea compared. *Geobios* 32, 223-234.

498 Etienne, R.S., Rosindell, J. 2012. Prolonging the past counteracts the pull of the present:
 499 protracted speciation can explain observed slowdowns in diversification. *Syst. Biol.* 61,
 500 204-213.

501 Fara, E. 2001. What are Lazarus taxa? *Geol. J.* 36, 291-303.

502 Fountaine, T.M.R., Benton, M.J., Dyke, G.J., Nudds, R.L. 2005. The quality of the fossil
 503 record of Mesozoic birds. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond., Ser. B.* 272, 289-294.

504 Gill, F., Wright, M. 1991-2013. International Ornithological Congress (IOC) World Bird List.
 505 International Ornithological Congress.

506 Gradstein, F.M., Ogg, J.G., Schmitz, M., Ogg, G. 2012. The Geologic Time Scale, 2012.
507 Amsterdam, Elsevier.

508 Hannisdal, B., Peters, S.E. 2011. Phanerozoic earth system evolution and marine biodiversity.
509 Science. 334, 1121-1124.

510 Harmon, L.J., Harrison, S. 2015. Species diversity is dynamic and unbounded at local and
511 continental scales. Am. Nat. 185, 584-593.

512 Hero, J.-M. 2000-2013. AmphibiaWeb. Berkeley: University of California.

513 Jablonski, D., Roy, K., Valentine, J.W., Price, R.M., Anderson, P.S. 2003. The impact of the
514 pull of the recent on the history of marine diversity. Science. 300, 1133-1135.

515 Jones, K.E., Bielby, J., Cardillo, M., Fritz, S.A., O'Dell, J., Orme, C.D.L., et al. 2009.
516 PanTHERIA: A species-level database of life-history, ecology and geography of extant
517 and recently extinct mammals. pp. 2648. Ecology.

518 Kalmar, A., Currie, D.J. 2010. The completeness of the continental fossil record and its
519 impact on patterns of diversification. Paleobiology. 36, 51-60.

520 Lepage, D. 2003-2013. Avibase. Bird Studies Canada.

521 Lloyd, G.T., Davis, K.E., Pisani, D., Tarver, J.E., Ruta, M., Sakamoto, M., et al. 2008.
522 Dinosaurs and the Cretaceous Terrestrial Revolution. Proc. R. Soc. Lond., Ser. B. 275,
523 2483-2490.

524 Magallon, S., Castillo, A. 2009. Angiosperm diversification through time. Am. J. Bot. 96,
525 349-365.

526 McKenna, M.C., Bell, S.K. 1997. Classification of mammals above the species level. New
527 York: Columbia University Press.

528 Meredith, R.W., Janecka, J.E., Gatesy, J., Ryder, O.A., Fisher, C.A., Teeling, E.C., et al.
529 2011) Impacts of the Cretaceous Terrestrial Revolution and KPg extinction on mammal
530 diversification. Science, 334, 521-524.

531 Mourer-Chauviré, C., Cheneval, J. 1983. Fossil Sagittariidae (Aves, Accipitriformes) from the
 532 Oligocene of Phosphorites du Quercy and Lower Miocene of Saint-Gerand-le-Puy.
 533 Geobios. 16, 443-459.

534 Nicholson, D.B., Ross, A.J., Mayhew, P.J. 2014. Fossil evidence for key innovations in the
 535 evolution of insect diversity. Proc. R. Soc. Lond., Ser. B. 281: 20141823.

536 Olson. S.L. 1963-1978. Index to Brodkorb's Catalogue of Fossil Birds. Stockholm: Society of
 537 Avian Paleontology and Evolution.

538 Patterson, C., Williams, D.M., Humphries, C.J. 1993. Congruence between molecular and
 539 morphological phylogenies. Ann. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 24, 153-188.

540 Peters, S.E. 2005. Geologic constraints on the macroevolutionary history of marine animals.
 541 Proc. Natn. Acad. Sci., U.S.A. 102, 12326-12331.

542 Peters, S.E., Foote, M. 2001. Biodiversity in the Phanerozoic: a reinterpretation.
 543 Paleobiology. 27, 583-601.

544 Public_Authorship. 2006-2013) List of fossil birds. In Wikipedia (Ed.).

545 Quental, T.B., Marshall, C.R. 2013. How the Red Queen drives terrestrial mammals to
 546 extinction. Science. 341, 290-292.

547 Rabosky, D.L. 2013. Diversity-dependence, ecological speciation, and the role of competition
 548 in macroevolution. Ann. Rec. Ecol. Evol. Syst. 44, 481-502.

549 Rabosky, D. L., Hurlbert, A.H. 2015. Species richness at continental scales is dominated by
 550 ecological limits. Am. Nat. 185, 572-583.

551 Rabosky, D.L., Santini, F., Eastman, J., Smith, S.A., Sidlauskas, B., Chang, J., et al. 2013.
 552 Rates of speciation and morphological evolution are correlated across the largest
 553 vertebrate radiation. Nature Comm. 4, 1958.

554 Raup, D.M. 1972. Taxonomic diversity during Phanerozoic. Science. 177, 1065-1071.

555 Raup, D.M. 1975. Taxonomic diversity estimation using rarefaction. Paleobiology. 1, 333-

556 342.

557 Raup, D.M. 1979. Biases in the fossil record of species and genera. *Bull. Carnegie Mus. Nat.*
558 *Hist.* 13, 85-91.

559 Ruhe, F. 1993-2002. *Ornitaxa* (<http://www.ornitaxa.com/>).

560 Sahney, S., Benton, M.J., Ferry, P.A. 2010. Links between global taxonomic diversity,
561 ecological diversity and the expansion of vertebrates on land. *Biol. Lett.* 6, 544-547.

562 Sepkoski, J.J., Jr. 1984. A kinetic model of Phanerozoic taxonomic diversity 3. Post Paleozoic
563 families and mass extinctions. *Paleobiology.* 10, 246-267.

564 Sepkoski, J.J., Jr. 1997. Biodiversity: past, present, and future. *J. Paleontol.* 71, 533-539.

565 Sepkoski, J.J., Jr., Bambach, R.K., Raup, D.M., Valentine, J.W. 1981. Phanerozoic marine
566 diversity and the fossil record. *Nature.* 293, 435-437.

567 Sessa, J.A., Patzkowsky, M.E., Bralower, T.J. 2009. The impact of lithification on the
568 diversity, size distribution, and recovery dynamics of marine invertebrate assemblages.
569 *Geology.* 37, 115-118.

570 Smith, A.B. 2007. Marine diversity through the Phanerozoic: problems and prospects. *J. Geol.*
571 *Soc.* 164, 731-745.

572 Smith, A.B., McGowan, A.J. 2007. The shape of the Phanerozoic marine palaeodiversity
573 curve: How much can be predicted from the sedimentary rock record of western Europe?
574 *Palaeontology.* 50, 765-774.

575 Stanley, S.M. 2007) An analysis of the history of marine animal diversity. *Paleobiology*, 33,
576 1-55.

577 Uetz, P., Hošek, J., Hallerman J. 1995-2013. The Reptile Database. German Herpetological
578 Society (<http://www.reptile-database.org/>).

579 Vermeij, G J. 1977. The Mesozoic marine revolution: evidence from snails, predators and
580 grazers. *Paleobiology.* 3, 245-258.

581 Vermeij, G.J., Grosberg, R.K. 2010. The great divergence: when did diversity on land exceed
582 that in the sea? *Integr. Comp. Biol.*, 50, 675-682.

583 Wall, P.D., Ivany, L.C., Wilkinson, B.H. 2009. Revisiting Raup: Exploring the influence of
584 outcrop area on diversity in light of modern sample-standardization techniques.
585 *Paleobiology*. 35, 146-167.

586 Wilson, D.E., Reeder, D.M. 2005. *Mammal Species of the World* (Third ed.). Baltimore:
587 Johns Hopkins University Press.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Pattern of diversification through time for tetrapod families. The plot shows empirical data and sketch outlines of typical fossil taxa, highlighting the apparent four-fold increase in familial diversity in the past, 100 Myr. Abbreviations: D, Devonian; C, Carboniferous; P, Permian; Tr, Triassic; J, Jurassic; K, Cretaceous; Pg, Paleogene; Ng, Neogene. Based on Sahney *et al.* (2010).

Figure 2. Biodiversity of tetrapod families with and without the Pull of the Recent. Through the Phanerozoic (A), and the Cenozoic (B). The POR has affected tetrapod biodiversity since the Lutetian, 45 million years ago. Data is summarized and statistical comparisons of curves are given in Table 2. Full data and the references used to compile the family level data is found in electronic supplementary data.

Figure 3. Genus-level diversity of tetrapod genera through the Cenozoic. The plots show cumulative empirical measures from the PaleoDB for the major clades (A), and palaeodiversity with and without the Pull of the Recent for all tetrapods (B) and for mammals only (C) since only mammals are substantially documented in the PaleoDB. Full data and statistical comparisons of curves are given in Table 1.






Figure 1




610

611 Figure 2



612

613 Figure 3



614

615 Figure 4

616 Table, 1. Differences between modern and fossil record estimates of tetrapod biodiversity, assessed at the generic level.

617

	Mammalia	Aves	Crocodylia	Lepidosauria	Testudines	Lissamphibia	Total
Clade	(31,32)	(16)	(17)	(17)	(17)	(18)	
No. living species	5416	10426	23	9766	328	7132	33091
No. living genera	1229	2229	8	960	94	510	5030
No. fossil genera*	3960	515	39	121	170	95	4900
No. living genera with a fossil record***	440	168	6	64	44	35	757
No. POR** genera from PaleoDB	20	5	0	13	8	1	47
% POR** genera from PaleoDB	4.5%	3.0%	0%	20.3%	18.2%	2.9%	6.2%
No. POR genera, actual	5	1	0	2	2	0	10
% POR genera, actual	1.1%	0.6%	0%	3.1%	4.5%	0%	1.3%
†Kendall's <i>tau</i>	0.999	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.999	1.000	1.000
2-sided <i>p</i> value	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

618

619 * Fossil genera: numbers of fossil genera from the Cenozoic (last 66 myr) only, as listed in the Paleodb.

620 ** POR = Pull of Recent; these are genera that have a Cenozoic fossil record, but lack fossil representatives in the past 5 myr (Pliocene; Pleistocene),

621 and so potentially show the POR bias, namely the advantage of living taxa in indicating missing data.

622 *** These are based only on the Paleodb, with minimal correction (omitted Plio-Pleistocene taxa), and there may be many more extant taxa with a

623 fossil record not yet included in the Paleodb.

624 † Kendall's *tau* measures the likelihood that the palaeodiversity curves with, and without POR taxa, are the same, possibly different, or definitely

625 different; here all are identical at very high significance ($p < 0.0001$)

626 Table 2. Differences between modern and fossil record estimates of tetrapod biodiversity, assessed at the family level

Clade	Mammalia	Aves	Reptilia	Amphibia	Total
No. living families	128	146	45	36	355
No. fossil families	331	214	323	109	987
No. living families with a fossil record	120	127	41	21	309
No. living families with a Plio-Pleistocene fossil record	118	114	37	21	290
No. POR families*	2	13	4	0	19
% POR families*	1.7%	10.2%	9.8%	0%	6.1%
Kendall's <i>tau</i>	0.9758	0.9490	0.4484	0.9835	0.9313
2-sided <i>p</i> value	0.0000	0.0000	0.0196	0.0000	0.0000

627

628 * POR = Pull of Recent; these are families that have a Cenozoic fossil record, but lack fossil representatives in the past 5 myr (Pliocene; Pleistocene),

629 and so potentially show the POR bias, namely the advantage of living taxa in indicating missing data.

630 † Kendall's *tau* measures the likelihood the paleodiversity curves with, and without POR taxa, are the same, possibly different, or definitely different;

631 here all are highly similar except for reptiles ($p < 0.0001$). The impact on reptiles is greater as their fossil record has plateaued since the Aquitanian,

632 with a net increase of just two families.